

RESEARCH VERSUS QUALITY IMPROVEMENT (QI) / PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT (PI) GUIDE AND CHECKLIST

PURPOSE

There is often confusion in determining whether Quality Improvement (QI) or Performance Improvement (PI) activities are Research and require review by the Institutional Review Board (IRB). This guide is offered as a tool to help determine if the proposal is research or QI/PI.

Whenever there is uncertainty as to whether a project is considered research or QI/PI the investigator should request a consult from the IRB Chair.

DEFINITIONS

Research is a systematic investigation, including research development, testing and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge.

A "systematic investigation" is an activity that involves a prospective plan that incorporates data collection, either quantitative or qualitative, and data analysis to answer a question. Examples of systematic investigations include: surveys and questionnaires, interviews and focus groups, analyses of existing data or biological specimens, epidemiological studies, evaluations of social or educational programs, cognitive and perceptual experiments, or medical chart review studies.

Investigations designed **to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge** are those designed to draw general conclusions, inform policy, or generalize findings beyond a single individual or an internal program (e.g., publications or presentations). However, research results do not have to be published or presented to qualify the experiment or data gathering as research. The intent to contribute to "generalizable (scholarly) knowledge" makes an experiment or data collection research, regardless of publication.

Research that never is published is still research. Subjects in research studies deserve protection whether or not the research is published.

A **human subject** is as a living individual about whom an investigator conducting research obtains (1) data through intervention or interaction with the individual; or (2) identifiable private information.

Intervention includes both physical procedures by which data are gathered (e.g., venipuncture) and manipulations of the subject or the subject's environment that are performed for research purposes.

Interaction includes communication or interpersonal contact between investigator and subject.

Private information must be individually identifiable (i.e., the identity of the subject is or may readily be ascertained by the investigator or associated with the information) in order for obtaining the information to constitute research involving human subjects.

Notes:

- Thesis or dissertation projects involving human subjects conducted to meet the requirement of a graduate degree are usually considered generalizable, and require IRB review and approval.
- The mere intent to publish the findings of a project does not require IRB review as long as the publication does not refer to the activity as research, and makes it clear the publication is the result of a quality improvement.

FDA regulations [21 CFR Part 50 and 56] define a **clinical investigation** as any experiment that involves a test article.

A **test article** is any drug (including a biological product for human use), medical device for human use, human food additive, color additive, electronic product, or any other article subject to regulation under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. FDA regulations define **human subject** as an individual who is or becomes a participant in research, either as a recipient of the test article or as a control. A subject may be either a healthy human or a patient.

Examples of clinical investigations include: investigational drug clinical trials, research testing the safety and effectiveness of an investigational device, or medical outcomes study comparing approved drugs/devices.

The state of California also requires IRB review of studies using state issued death records (certificates and indices). Refer to CA Health and Safety Code, Sections 102231-102232 for more information.

Quality Improvement (QI) or Performance Improvement (PI) Projects: Internal projects related directly to improving or assessing the performance of the institution, its employees or clinical outcomes within the mandate of the institution. Projects are considered to be quality improvement or performance improvement if they involve the systematic monitoring, assessment or evaluation of the various aspects of an organization to ensure that standards of quality are being met, or to correct or enhance the various aspects of the organization. These projects do not seek to establish generalizable knowledge.

CHARACTERISTICS OF RESEARCH AND QUALITY IMPROVEMENT/PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT

	Research	Quality Improvement/	
Purpose	Designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge. To test a hypothesis or to establish clinical practice standards where none are already accepted.	Performance Improvement Designed to implement knowledge, assess a process or program as judged by established or accepted standards. To improve performance on a specific service, protocol, clinical practice, process, or outcome within a department, clinical program or facility.	
Starting Point	Intended to answer a question or test a hypothesis.	Purpose is integral to ongoing management system for delivering health care. To improve performance.	
Design	Follows a rigid protocol that remains unchanged throughout the research.	Adaptive, iterative design. Uses established quality improvement methods (e.g. PDSA cycle, Six Sigma, or Lean methodologies) aimed at producing a change within the Torrance Memorial Health System. Compare a program/process/service to ar established set of standards. Compare program, process or system to established standards Directly benefits a process, system or program; may or may not benefit patients. Does not increase risk to patients, with exception of possible patients' privacy or confidentiality of data.	
Data Collection	Systematic data collection.		
Analysis	Statistically prove or disprove hypothesis.		
Benefits	May or may not benefit current subjects; intended to benefit future patients.		
Risks	May put subjects at risk.		
Endpoint	Answer a research question.	Improve a program, process or system.	
Publication/ Presentation	Investigator obliged to share results.	Practitioners encouraged to share systematic reporting of insights.	

CHECKLIST

	CRITERIA	YES	NO
1)	Is the project primarily designed to test a specific hypothesis or	R	QI
	answer a specific quantitative or qualitative question to		
	contribute to generalizable knowledge outside of the Torrance		
	Memorial Health System?		
2)		R	QI
	control groups?		
3)	Is the project designed to support generalizations that go	R	QI
	beyond the particular population the sample is being drawn		
<u> </u>	from?		
4)	• •	R	QI
	groups in order to enhance confidence in differences that might		
	be obscured by nonrandom selection?		
5)		R	QI
	participants beyond what would be normally expected or		
	normally experienced during the course of care, program		
	participation or role expectations?		
6)	Is the primary purpose of the project to produce the kind of	R	QI
	results that could be published in a research journal?		
7)	Is there funding from an external organization based on support	R	QI
0)	to carry out the proposed activity?		
8)		R	QI
	treatments that are not standard (neither consensus-based, nor		
9)	evidenced-based)? Will project participants also likely be among those who might	QI	R
5)	potentially benefit from the result of the project as it proceeds?	Qi	IN IN
10) Is the project intended to develop a better practice within the		QI	R
10	Torrance Memorial hospital setting?	Qi	
11	11) Would this project still be done at your site even if the results		R
<u> </u>	might not be applicable anywhere else?	QI	
12) Is the current project part of a continuous process of gathering		QI	R
	or monitoring data within an organization?	<u></u> ч	

If the project is similar to both definitions, then the project is research.

R = Research

QI = Quality Improvement